

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated : 22.03.2006

Coram:-

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice P.SATHASIVAM
and
The Hon'ble Mr. Justice J.A.K.SAMPATHKUMAR

O.S.A. Nos.344 & 345 of 2002
and
& CMP Nos.13103, 11775 and 19632 of 2003

OSA No.344 of 2002:-

1. R. Saraswathi
2. Nandakumar
3. Sudharshanam @ Sudharsana Narayanan
4. Leelakrishnan (a person of
unsound mind) by next
friend P. Sekar ... Appellants

[Appellants 2 and 3 are appointed as guardian of
the 4th appellant [Leelakrishnan] in the place of
next friend, P.Sekar vide Order of Court dated
22.3.2006 and made in CMP.No.4155/06].

Vs.

1. J. Chandra
2. Govardhanan
3. Gowri
4. Saraswathi
5. Padma
6. Manivalli
7. T.Sethunidhi
8. T.Janardhanan
9. Indira Narasimhan
10. Lakshmi Rani
11. V.Venkatesan ... Respondents

OSA No.345 of 2002:-

1. R. Saraswathi
2. Nandakumar
3. D.Sudarsan
4. Leelakrishnan ... Appellants
[Appellants 2 and 3 are appointed as guardian of
the 4th appellant [Leelakrishnan] in the place of
next friend, P.Sekar vide Order of Court dated
22.3.2006 and made in CMP.No.4155/06].

Vs.

1. Chandrammal
2. D.Govardanan
3. Annamalai
4. Manmathan
5. Chandran
6. R.Vairavel Perumalswamy
7. Dhiraviam nadar
8. Thirumudi Nadar
9. Bala Singh Respondents.
(R-3 to R-9 are not necessary
parties to this appeal).

Appeals against the Common Judgment dated 12.08.2002 in C.S. No.267 of 1986 and Tr.C.S. No.210 of 2000 on the file of this Court.

COMMON JUDGMENT

(Judgment of the Court was delivered by P.SATHASIVAM, J.)

All the parties to the above proceedings agreed for a compromise and in pursuance of the said decision, they filed a Memo of Compromise dated 22.03.2006. The appellants and the respondents in both the appeals signed the Memo of Compromise in token of their acceptance. The fourth respondent in both the appeals viz., Leela Krishnan, is represented by his brothers D.Nandakumar and Sudarshan @ Sudarshana Narayanan as guardians (vide order dated 22.03.2006 made in CMP No.4155 of 2006). Though such a petition (similar to CMP No.4155 of 2006 in OSA No.344 of 2002) has not been filed in O.S.A. No.345 of 2002, all the parties are agreeable for appointing Tvl.D.Nandakumar and Sudarshan @ Sudarshana Narayanan as guardians of the fourth respondent in O.S.A. No.345 of 2002 as ordered in OSA No.344 of 2002. We accept the same.

2. All the parties to the Compromise Memo appeared before us. On going through the contents of the Memo and in view of the fact that all the parties have signed it having agreed to the terms incorporated therein, we hereby record the same.

3. Accordingly, O.S.A. Nos.344 and 345 of 2002 are disposed of in terms of the Memo of Compromise dated 22.03.2006. Connected Miscellaneous Petitions are closed. The Memo of Compromise shall form part of the decree.

Sd/-
Asst. Registrar.

/true copy/

Sub Asst. Registrar.

JI.


To
The Sub Assistant Registrar,
Original Side,
High Court, Madras.

+ 4 CCs to Mr.A.Venkatesan, Advocate SR Nos.14321, 14322

+ 2 CCs to Mr.A.J.Jamad, Advocate SR NO 14844

O.S.A. Nos.344 & 345/2002

jrg(co)
gp/21.8.



WEB COPY